

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,137 04/16/2004		/16/2004	Reinhold A. Barlian	BARTEC 68395 8760		
24201	7590	02/22/2006		EXAMINER		
FULWIDE	R PATTO	N	MAI, ANH T			
6060 CENT			[			
10TH FLOC	)R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90045	2832	2832		
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		"	фрисацоп но.	Applicant(s)			
			10/826,137	BARLIAN ET AL.	(A) /		
Office Action Summary		E	xaminer	Art Unit			
			nh T. Mai	2832			
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communica ly	tion appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	idress		
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) If - If NO period f - Failure to repl Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE INCLUDENCE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL time may be available under the provisions of 3 MONTHS from the mailing date of this community or reply is specified above, the maximum statute by within the set or extended period for reply will, eived by the Office later than three months after them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATI 7 CFR 1.136(a cation. bry period will a by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION  In no event, however, may a reply be apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this o IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) ☐ This a 3) ☐ Since	onsive to communication(s) filed of action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) this application is in condition for d in accordance with the practice	☐ This ac	tion is non-final. except for formal matters, p		e merits is		
Disposition of	Claims						
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☑ Claim 7) ☐ Claim	is/are pending in the apt the above claim(s) <u>41</u> is/are with (s) is/are allowed. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. is/are subject to restriction	drawn fron					
Application Pa	pers						
10)☐ The di Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the Exawing(s) filed on is/are: a ant may not request that any objection cement drawing sheet(s) including the ath or declaration is objected to by	D accept n to the dra e correction	wing(s) be held in abeyance. S is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 C			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notice of Dra 3)  Information D	rerences Cited (PTO-892) oftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Mail Date <u>7/05</u> .		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	O-152)		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 21-40 in the reply filed on January 10, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the example method as suggest by the examiner is not different than the method set forth in the claim of group II. This is not found persuasive because in the claim of group II requires injection molding machine which is not "manually injection molding".

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

In the instant application, claim 21-40 have been considered and examined, claim 41 has been further withdrawn from further consideration.

# Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed July 1 and July 29 of 2005, fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Application/Control Number: 10/826,137

Art Unit: 2832

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. <u>Claims 21-24, 33-34</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by LaMarca et al. [2002/0175791].

LaMarca discloses an electrical part comprising:

- an electrical structure element of coil wire 44;
- a basic body 42 formed of first hard thermoplastic synthetic [liquid crystal polymer]
   having first melting temperature on which the structure element is arranged;
- an encapsulation 12 tightly surrounding structure element [provides hermetic seal for the solenoid 10] formed of second hard thermoplastic synthetic material having second melting temperature which is higher than first melting temperature, wherein material of the encapsulation is intimately fused with material of the basic body so as to embed the structural element free of gap and voids [see abstract; para 0039; claims 6-8; figure 1-5].

With respect to claim 23, basic body 42 having cylindrical portion 52 about which the coil 44 is arranged.

With respect to claim 24, LaMarca discloses a cylindrical portion having collar 50 at one end and connection head 54 at the other end [figure 2].

With respect to claim 33, LaMarca discloses a longitudinal bore 14 [fig 2].

With respect to claim 34, LaMarca discloses encapsulation has a circular-cylindrical outer surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,137

Art Unit: 2832

An improved solenoid is provided that has a fully enclosing yoke with integral end cap and alcove. A second, separate, or alternatively integral end cap with alcove is provided to complete the magnetic yoke. The yoke/coll assembly is encapsulated with a liquid crystal polymer that has a melting temperature higher than the melting temperature of the coil bobbin to provide a good bond therebetween.

[0039] During the preferred injection molding encapsulation process, the encapsulation 12 material cools as it is forced into contact with the yoke 60 and coil 40. Applicants have found that if the botbin 42 has the same or similar melting point as the encapsulation 12, a good adhesion bond will not always be formed between the encapsulation 12 and the coil 40. Applicants have found that by having the botbin 42 constructed from a material with a melting point lower than the melting point of the encapsulation 12, the exposed portions of the botbin 42 will form a good bond with the encapsulation 12. In applicant's experience, a melting point differential of approximately 10 degrees Fahrenheit may be sufficient. Referring back to FIGS. 4, 6 and 7, openings 98 may be provided to allow the encapsulation 12 to more essily fill the space between the coil 40 and the yoke 60.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. <u>Claims 25-29</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaMarca in view of Shimada et al. [2002/0026929].

LaMarca discloses the invention as claimed as cited above except for the basic body includes at least one protruding rib and at least one under cut for synthetic material of encapsulation is engaged.

Shimada discloses a basic body having protruding rib 2B' and under cut 2C both formed on cylindrical part of the body near the connection head 9 having connection pins 18' where coil end contacts at 18a embedded in resin 43 [figures 22-24].

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide protruding ribs as taught by Shimada to the basic body as disclosed by Application/Control Number: 10/826,137

Art Unit: 2832

LaMarca. The motivation would have been to facilitate winding connection of the structure.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Shimada with LaMarca.

3. <u>Claims 30-32, 35-39</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaMarca in view of Widiger et al. [6114933].

LaMarca discloses the invention as claimed as cited above except for the coil including main winding and auxiliary winding.

Widiger discloses coil 40 having main winding 58 wound on the basis body 48 and auxiliary winding 56 wound on main winding, connection pins 61 [electric terminal] disposed in socket 60 [col 4, lines 45-47; figure 1].

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have two windings as taught by Widiger to the structure element as disclosed by LaMarca. The motivation would have been to provide inductor function for the structure.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Widiger in view of LaMarca.

With respect to claim 32, the claim is rejected due to the number of turn of windings depending upon the operating function of the structure, which requires a specific result.

With respect to claim 37, Widiger discloses winding 56 connected to connection pin 61 insertable to socket 60, a basis body 48 having longitudinal bore and encapsulation 194 surrounding structure element [figure 27].

With respect to claims 38-40, LaMarca in view of Widiger discloses the claimed invention as cited above except for the size of the diameter of the circular cylinder outer surface of plug pin being smaller than inner diameter of longitudinal bore of basic body formed in the basic body of the plug socket. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to select the diameter of the plug pin smaller than that of the bore of basic body, since such a

modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Kuhle* 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh T. Mai whose telephone number is 571-272-1995. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9 Schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

020906 am

> ANH MAI PRIMARY EXAMINER